

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/736,650	12/16/2003	James Wong	SUPERCON 23	5354	
27667	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C. 3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140 TUCSON, AZ 85718			NGUYEN, DONGHAI D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3729 DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,650	WONG, JAMES		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Donghai D. Nguyen	3729		

	Donghai D. Nguyen	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress –		
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		(DTOL 204)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			- 4 C 4b -		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:			+		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. Other:			—t.la-		

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No. 10/736,650

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 as amended contains subject matter such as "twisting and rolling to flatten the elements into thin ribbon" (line 12) which raise new issue that require further consideration and/or search. Further "the elements" appears to raise 112 issue because it is unclear whether "the elements" here directed to that as recited in step b or that form as result of step d in combination with step e which is part of the secondary billet.

DN

July 12, 2006

•